

2016 Routt County Fair - Lamb

Name	Tag	Live Weight	HCW	Dress %	AFT	FT ADJ	REA	REA ADJ	YG	QG	QG ADJ	Leg Score	Ls adj	Index	Rank	Mkt Class Placing
Delaney, Millie	4010	137	78	57%	0.12	0	3.6	-1.2	1.6	CH	-0.1	13	-0.66	-0.462	1	3
Fielding, Taylor	4050	156	88.5	57%	0.15	0	4.4	-1.2	1.9	CH-	0	13	-0.66	0.045	2	2
Ebaugh, Sherese	4019	150	95	63%	0.18	0	3.0	-1.2	2.2	CH	-0.1	11	0.00	0.947	3	2
Myhre, Hallie	8764	117	69	59%	0.15	0	3.5	-1.2	1.9	CH-	0	11	0.00	1.014	4	1
Freideman, Kaelley	4012	126	70	56%	0.20	0	4.0	-1.2	2.4	CH	-0.1	12	-0.33	1.100	5	1
Parker, Peyton	4069	140	77	55%	0.18	0	3.2	-1.2	2.2	CH	-0.1	11	0.00	1.169	6	4
Myhre, Hallie	8765	129	71	55%	0.18	0	3.4	-1.2	2.2	CH	-0.1	11	0.00	1.268	7	3
McLaughlin, Cosette	4032	155	90	58%	0.22	0.3	3.6	-1.2	2.6	CH-	0	12	-0.33	1.522	8	4
Wille, Kayla	4065	145	81	56%	0.18	0	2.5	0	2.2	CH	-0.1	13	-0.66	1.778	9	1, GC
Diehl, Sophia	8753	134	76.5	57%	0.22	0.3	3.5	-1.2	2.6	CH-	0	12	-0.33	1.791	10	5
Spitzley, Rachel	8745	130	66	51%	0.20	0	3.0	-1.2	2.4	CH-	0	11	0.00	1.818	11	6
Parks, Shannon	4066	121	61	50%	0.20	0	3.1	-1.2	2.4	CH-	0	11	0.00	1.967	12	5
Freideman, Kaetlyn	4009	127	76	60%	0.20	0	3.0	-1.2	2.4	CH-	0	10	0.33	2.013	13	4
Delaney, Millie	4013	147	82	56%	0.22	0.3	3.3	-1.2	2.6	CH-	0	11	0.00	2.073	14	
Whaley, Larhae	4011	166	105	63%	0.30	0.9	3.5	-1.2	3.4	CH	-0.1	13	-0.66	2.229	15	4
Rossi, Kayla	4001	146	76	52%	0.22	0.3	3.0	-1.2	2.6	CH-	0	11	0.00	2.237	16	1, RC
Ebaugh, Desierae	4007	153	83	54%	0.25	0.3	3.1	-1.2	2.9	CH	-0.1	11	0.00	2.289	17	5
Spitzley, Dyllan	8762	151	72	48%	0.20	0	2.6	-0.6	2.4	CH	-0.1	11	0.00	2.361	18	
Spitzley, Dyllan	8760	135	72	53%	0.18	0	2.9	-0.8	2.2	CH-	0	10	0.33	2.403	19	4
Diehl, Jessica	8752	122	73	60%	0.20	0	2.9	-0.8	2.4	CH-	0	10	0.33	2.644	20	2
Ragan, Shannon	4020	164	99	60%	0.30	0.9	3.1	-1.2	3.4	CH+	-0.3	11	0.00	2.828	21	1
Lotz, Sydney	4025	183	106	58%	0.30	0.9	3.3	-1.2	3.4	CH	-0.1	11	0.00	2.830	22	5
Whaley, Larhae	4016	146	94	64%	0.28	0.6	3.3	-1.2	3.2	CH	-0.1	10	0.33	3.011	23	3
Rossi, Kayla	4028	155	91	59%	0.30	0.9	3.0	-1.2	3.4	CH-	0	12	-0.33	3.044	24	2
Wirth, Natalie	4062	153	97	63%	0.30	0.9	3.0	-1.2	3.4	CH	-0.1	11	0.00	3.093	25	6
Parks, Lauren	4033	140	88	63%	0.30	0.9	3.3	-1.2	3.4	CH-	0	11	0.00	3.523	26	6
Ebaugh, Kaylee	4018	146	81	55%	0.30	0.9	3.3	-1.2	3.4	CH	-0.1	11	0.00	3.704	27	6
Ebaugh, Darrel	4024	126	66	52%	0.25	0.3	2.7	-0.6	2.9	CH-	0	11	0.00	3.939	28	
Palyo, Morgan	8763	152	85	56%	0.33	0.9	2.9	-0.8	3.7	CH	-0.1	12	-0.33	3.965	29	1
Parker, Makinley	4037	141	79	56%	0.27	0.6	2.8	-0.8	3.1	CH-	0	10	0.33	4.089	30	2

Name	Tag	Live Weight	HCW	Dress %	AFT	FT ADJ	REA	REA ADJ	YG	QG	QG ADJ	Leg Score	Ls adj	Index	Rank	Mkt Class Placing
Schmidt, Logan	4055	135	77	57%	0.30	0.9	2.8	-0.8	3.4	PR-	-0.5	10	0.33	4.325	31	
Ragan, Shannon	4023	143	83.5	58%	0.32	0.9	3.0	-1.2	3.6	CH-	0	10	0.33	4.347	32	5
Hayes, Hannah	8746	155	79.5	51%	0.30	0.9	2.8	-0.8	3.4	CH+	-0.3	10	0.33	4.440	33	6
Diehl, Jess	8908	132	76	58%	0.30	0.9	3.2	-1.2	3.4	CH-	0	10	0.33	4.513	34	
Hayes, Hannah	8744	138	73	53%	0.30	0.9	3.0	-1.2	3.4	CH	-0.1	10	0.33	4.562	35	5
McLaughlin, Caroline	4031	126	74	59%	0.30	0.9	3.5	-1.2	3.4	CH-	0	10	0.33	4.635	36	5
Hayes, Warren	8790	103	48	47%	0.20	0	2.9	-0.8	2.4	CH-	0	9	0.66	4.708	37	3
Wirth, Natalie	7628	161	94.5	59%	0.38	1.2	2.9	-0.8	4.2	CH	-0.1	10	0.33	5.111	38	3
Palyo, Morgan	8751	148	89	60%	0.42	1.2	3.0	-1.2	4.6	CH+	-0.3	10	0.33	5.202	39	5
Meyring, Josie	4022	100	52	52%	0.23	0.3	2.4	0	2.7	CH-	0	9	0.66	7.038	40	1
Averages		141	80	50%	0.25	0.3	3.1	-1.2	2.9	CH-	0	11	0.00	2.482		

REA Adj

>2.9 then -1.2

<0.1 then +3

>2.7 then -0.8

<0.21 then 0

>2.5 then -0.6

<0.26 then +0.3

>2.3 then 0

<0.3 then +0.6

>2.1 then +0.6

<0.36 then +0.9

>1.9 then +0.8

>0.36 then +1.2

<1.9 then +1.2

Fat Adj

<0.1 then +3

<0.21 then 0

<0.26 then +0.3

<0.3 then +0.6

<0.36 then +0.9

>0.36 then +1.2

QG ADJ

PR -0.5

CH+ -0.3

CH -0.1

CH- 0

GD 3

Leg score adj

9 then +.66

10 then +.33

11 then 0

12 then -.33

13 then -.66

14 then -1

15 then -1.33

HCW=Hot Carcass Weight

AFT=Adjusted Fat Thickness

REA=Ribeye Area

YG=Yield Grade=(10*(.04+AFT))

Index = YG + REA Adjustment + AFT Adjustment + USDA Quality Grade Adjustment+ Leg Score Adjustment

In the case of a tie, ties are broken on Ribeye Area